1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TAC	OMA
10		
11	VERNON PAUL VANCE, individually	CASE NO. 13-5860 RJB
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON MOTION FOR REMAND
13	v.	
14	PIERCE COUNTY, et al.	
15	Defendants.	
16	This matter comes before the Court on the	Plaintiff's Motion for Remand. Dkt. 14. The
17	Court has considered the pleadings filed regarding	the motion and the remaining file. For the
18	reasons set forth below, the motion to remand should be granted.	
19	I. <u>FACTS</u>	
20	This case was filed in Pierce County, Washington Superior Court on December 3, 2012.	
21	Dkt. 10-1, at 3. Plaintiff asserted claims for false arrest, defamation, malicious prosecution,	
22	"gross negligence and deliberate indifference," negligent hiring, negligent retention, negligent	
23	supervision, and negligent infliction of emotional distress. Dkt. 10-1, at 14-15. Defendant	
24	Pierce County, Washington, was notified, by letter	s dated December 14, 2012 and May 17, 2012,

that Plaintiff was waiving all federal claims. Dkt. 16, at 4 and 6. On September 3, 2013, Plaintiff amended his Complaint and added the Washington State Department of Corrections and the Washington State Patrol as Defendants. Dkt. 1, at 8. He also added state law claims for negligence, outrage, and invasion of privacy. *Id.*, at 20-21. On October 1, 2013, the case was removed to this Court by the Defendants. Dkt. 1. Plaintiff now moves for an order of remand to Pierce County, Washington Superior Court. Dkt. 14. Defendants oppose the motion. Dkts. 18 and 20. II. **DISCUSSION** Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331: "[t]he district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." "Federal jurisdiction exists only when a federal question is presented on the face of a properly pleaded complaint. The mere existence of a federal defense to a state law claim is insufficient to create federal jurisdiction over a case." U.S. v. City of Arcata, 629 F.3d 986, 990 (9th Cir. 2010)(internal citations omitted). Further, to protect the jurisdiction of state courts, removal jurisdiction is strictly construed in favor of remand. Harris v. Bankers Life and Cas. Co., 425 F.3d 689, 698 (9th Cir. 2005) (citing Shamrock Oil & Gas Corp. v. Sheets, 313 U.S. 100, 108–09 (1941)). Any doubt as to the right of removal must be resolved in favor of remand. Gaus v. *Miles*, 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). The strong presumption against removal jurisdiction means that the defendant always has the burden of establishing that removal is proper. *Id.* The Motion for Remand (Dkt. 14) should be granted, and the case should be remanded to Pierce County, Washington Superior Court. There is no federal question presented in the Complaint. Defendants' assertion that certain defenses may be raised pursuant to a federal statute does not create federal question subject matter jurisdiction for this Court. City of Arcata,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	at 990. Defendants' argument that Plaintiff's claim for "deliberate indifference" is "clearly" a	
2	federal claim is not persuasive. Dkts. 18 and 20. Although "deliberate indifference" is the state	
3	of mind requirement for various constitutional violations which could be brought pursuant to 42	
4	U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiff, in his Reply, states that he is not bringing such a claim, and is, in fact,	
5	waiving all federal claims. Dkt. 21. Defendants' argument, that Plaintiff's claim for attorney's	
6	fees confers federal jurisdiction here, is likewise unavailing. Plaintiff accurately points out that	
7	attorney's fees may be available under state law (Dkt. 21) and is asserting his claim for	
8	attorney's fees under Washington law. Further, there is no showing that this Court has diversity	
9	jurisdiction. This case should be remanded to Pierce County, Washington Superior Court.	
10	Plaintiff further seeks an award of attorney's fees and costs. Dkts. 14 and 21. Attorney's fees	
11	should not be awarded at this time.	
12	III. <u>ORDER</u>	
- 1		
13	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:	
13 14	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: • Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED .	
14	Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED .	
14 15	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and 	
14 15 16	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and Plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees and costs (Dkt. 14) IS DENIED. 	
14 15 16 17	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and Plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees and costs (Dkt. 14) IS DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and 	
14 15 16 17 18	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and Plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees and costs (Dkt. 14) IS DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing <i>pro se</i> at said party's last known address. 	
14 15 16 17 18	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and Plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees and costs (Dkt. 14) IS DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing <i>pro se</i> at said party's last known address. 	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and Plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees and costs (Dkt. 14) IS DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing <i>pro se</i> at said party's last known address. 	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Dkt. 14) is GRANTED. This case is REMANDED to the Pierce County, Washington Superior Court; and Plaintiff's motion for an award of attorney's fees and costs (Dkt. 14) IS DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address. Dated this 25th day of November, 2013. 	